UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EPITOMICS, INC.,	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 07-5275 MMC
v. CELL SIGNALING TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s)/	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following A	DR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AMEDIATION (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlemen appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	ny other form of ADR, must participate in an . They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify proce	ss and provider)
Process: Mediation. Provider to be agreed upon by the part	• •
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proce	lline is 90 days from the date of the order ss unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline <u>Timing of n</u>	nediation to be determined at 2/1/08 CMC
Dated: 1/11/08	/s/ Jana G. Gold Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 1/11/08	/s/ Robert J. Goldman Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

✓ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other To be set at 2/1/08 CMC

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 14, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

Mafine M. Cherry